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Dear Visiting Committee Members:
The stakeholders of Woodside High School are looking forward to your visit as an opportunity to gain a new perspective on the quality and efficacy of the school's curricular offerings, support programs, modes of assessment, and student activities. Woodside High School has put great time and energy assessing and addressing the areas of critical follow-up identified by the previous visiting committee in the spring of 2005.

The Shared Decision-Making Council (SDMC), comprised of all stakeholders, has approved and implemented annual short-term goals based on the action steps delineated in the Focus on Learning longterm action plans of 2005. The SDMC will continue this practice of aligning its annual goals to the longterm vision provided by the WASC action plans in Chapter V. The stakeholders of Woodside High School have worked sedulously to improve the areas of critical follow-up, in that self-evaluation is a vital component of the decision-making process.

One of the greatest challenges in recent years has been a significant change in student demographics. The percentage of students who qualify for the federal free and reduced lunch program has increased sharply, from $38 \%$ in 2010-2011 to $53 \%$ in 2011-12. Our Hispanic population continues to grow, while our Caucasian population continues to decrease. This shift in demographics was one of the factors for which Woodside High School chose to work with the east-coast based Quaglia Institute. The work with Quaglia is founded upon the " 8 Conditions for Student Success," which the SDMC adopted as the school's ESLRs in the spring of 2011. Through a focus on the "8 Conditions," the Woodside High School staff hopes to foster genuine relationships with students so that educators can better understand the challenges and adverse circumstances that students face. For more information about the Quaglia Institute and its research-based framework, please visit www.qisa.org.

Once again, the stakeholders of Woodside High School are looking forward to your visit. Having served on several visiting committees, I understand and appreciate the time and energy that a visit requires; I wish to extend my gratitude, in advance, for the role you will play in improving Woodside High School.

Sincerely,

Wendy Porter<br>WASC Self-Study Coordinator
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## CHRAPTERII

## Student/Comomunity Preofile



## School Background and Student Demographics

Student/ Community Profile and Supporting Data

Woodside High School, home of the Wildcats, has been serving the communities of the mid-peninsula since its opening in 1958. The 34-acre campus lies just east of the Santa Cruz Mountains and is one of five campuses in the Sequoia Union High School District. Woodside has approximately 1,810 students who come from the surrounding communities of Redwood City, Woodside, Portola Valley, and East Palo Alto.

The school community reflects the cultural and socio-economic makeup of California. The mid-peninsula is an area of great economic diversity containing some of the most affluent and the most economically deprived communities in the state. Just north of Silicon Valley and Stanford University, Woodside High School is influenced greatly by the rapidly evolving business and cultural interests of the region.

Woodside High School offers a comprehensive, standards-based curriculum. Academic departments have adopted either the California Content Standards or the Sequoia Union High School District Content Standards as the framework for both curriculum and assessment. The use of Data Director has provided WHS decision-makers with valuable and practical information as it relates to teaching standards and their connections to high stakes assessments.

Currently, Woodside High School receives students from over 29 feeder schools; consequently, students enter with great variance in terms of academic and social preparedness for the rigors of a comprehensive, college-preparatory high school program.

Woodside High School's short term goals focus on improvements in proficiency rates on the CSTs, CELDT and CAHSEE exams, and also identifies goals in UC/CSU "a-g" completion rates, grade point averages for freshmen, and school spirit.

Woodside has been engaged in a three-year professional development program with Action Learning Systems (ALS) with a focus on engagement and differentiation strategies and the needs of our long-term English learner students. All of our core subject departments have been engaged in a series of all-day workshops and collaboration sessions. For the past three years a cohort of interdisciplinary teachers has formed Collaborative Learning Groups (CLGs). These teams attended a summer institute and held regular meetings during the school year. In addition, now that Woodside is in Program Improvement (PI), the school is using ALS as their District Assistance Instructional Team (DAIT).

In addition to the implementation of targeted instructional strategies, Woodside has introduced a number of interventions, support systems, and programs to support the achievement of all students: Freshman English Pods, Academic Mentor Program/ Academic Tutorials, After School Program, Mental Health Counseling, Small Learning Communities (SLC-9, SLC-10), CAHSEE Tutoring, College Counseling.

New to Woodside in the 2010-11 school year has been the commencement of a three-year professional development endeavor with the Quaglia Institute which is based on the "8 Conditions" of student success: Belonging, Heroes, Sense of Accomplishment, Fun \& Excitement, Curiosity \& Creativity, Spirit of Adventure, Leadership \& Responsibility, and Confidence to Take Action. In the spring of 2011 Woodside High School adopted these eight conditions as its revised ESLRs. Woodside also redefined its mission statement after much stakeholder input and discussion of the principles on which Quaglia was founded. The mission statement is now "All Woodside High School students can and will learn."

Woodside High School has placed great emphasis upon the needs of the long-term English Learner population, as well as the needs of students who qualify for Title I services. In the spring of 2007, Woodside High School was designated as a Title I school. WHS currently identifies approximately 995 students eligible to receive Title 1 services. The SUHSD identifies Title I students as any who are deficient in credits, qualify for Free and Reduced Lunch, have not passed either section of CAHSEE by 11th grade, have scored at far below basic and below basic on the CST English Language Arts and Mathematics exams. The percentage of students who qualify for the Free and Reduced Lunch Program has increased steadily over the last five years from 40\% in 2007-08 to $53 \%$ this year. Students included are those with disabilities, migrant children or limited English proficient students (English Learners). We now receive additional funding for students meeting this criterion. This amounts to approximately $\$ 200,000$ annually.


## Staff

The staff of Woodside High School is committed, dedicated, and professional. The faculty, administration and classified employees are actively involved in improving the quality of education for all students. Of the 109 certificated staff members, $100 \%$ meet
the federal guidelines for being highly qualified in their respective fields. Fifty-two percent of the faculty have Master’s Degrees or higher. Teachers continue their professional development by consistently attending conferences, workshops and continuing education programs to meet and anticipate the needs of all students.

Ninety-nine percent of the teachers hold CLAD or BCLAD (Bilingual, Cultural, Language, Acquisition and Development) certification. Classified and administrative staff members are integral to the successful operation of the school and support of the educational
mission. While the staff has yet to reflect the ethnic makeup of the student population, a concerted effort has been made to broaden employee diversity with some degree of success as evidenced by the increased numbers of Spanish speaking staff members.

## Students

Our students reflect the cultural diversity of the community. The ethnic pluralism of the campus provides a rich academic and social environment as well as a broad spectrum of perspectives and experiences. Woodside High School's enrollment demographics have changed greatly in recent years. The population of Hispanic students has risen steadily; in 2005-06, Hispanic students comprised 45\% of Woodside's total population, and in the current school year, Hispanic students comprise $56 \%$ of the total population.



Woodside High School takes pride in the fact that it continues to earn a high CA Similar Schools Ranking (currently a three year average of 9.7).


The Graduation Rate (four-year), at 93.6\%, far exceeds the district's composite graduation rate of $89 \%$.


University of California/ California State University "a-g" completion rates are something we are continuing to work on because although we saw some growth (43\% pass rate in 2006-2007 to $54 \%$ in 2009-2010), there have been some fluctuations.


## Parent and Community Involvement

Current community involvement is accomplished through our Parent, Teacher, Student Association (PTSA), School-Site Council, English Language Learners’ Advisory Council (ELAC), Drama Boosters, Athletic Boosters, Music Boosters, Robotics Boosters, Shared Decision-Making Council, School Site Council, Student Advisory, various task forces, Parent Advisory Group, Business Technology Academy, Green and Clean Academy, MESA, AVID, and Compass groups.

The Woodside Foundation, comprised of parents, staff and community members, is committed to improving Woodside’s academic programs, and continues to provide necessary support and funding. In addition to larger school projects, the WHS Foundation annually funds grants to individual teachers. Some of the projects they have funded over the course of the past school years include:

- Website and PR Materials
- Students Offering Support (SOS) Program
- Technology Support
- Library Books
- Testing Coordination
- College and Career Center
- Principal's discretionary fund
- 40 Smart Boards
- Class Sections
- Staff Development
- Tutoring Services
- Mental Health Counseling

In 2008, the Foundation shifted its focus to reducing class sizes in specific content areas in need of improvement. Each spring, the site administration presents CST performance data to the Foundation Board and makes recommendations of specific content areas to apply the class size reduction funds.

There is opportunity and encouragement for parent and community involvement in our task forces, and representation of all stakeholders is required in our SDMC. This is the ninth year that our Parent and Student Principal's Advisory Groups have been in operation. Parents and students meet once per month with the Principal to review current progress, issues and concerns.

## The District

The Sequoia Union High School District was founded in 1895 with Sequoia High School, and the district rapidly expanded in the 1950s and 1960s. Constructed in 1958, Woodside is one of five high schools in the District. The District has adopted the Teacher Induction Program (TIP) to ensure support and training for teachers in their first and second years in the profession. With increased focus upon standardized testing and assessment of student progress, the District hired a specialist in statistical analysis to help each school site draw conclusions so that the results of testing can drive effective change. It is our hope that the district will continue to develop mechanisms to streamline data collection and analysis so that the onus of these tasks is not left to the limited resources of our site.

## Physical Plant

WHS has undergone major renovations as part of a modernization process that began with the passing of Measure V in 1996 and continued in 2001 with the passing of Measure G. In addition, voters passed Measure H in November 2004, which further precipitated construction and facility enhancements. In November 2008, voters passed Measure J, which further assisted the district with its goal to provide the very best facilities to its students.

Woodside High School has modernized all of its classrooms as of 2007 and completed upgrades to existing facilities including the boys' and girls' locker rooms, the faculty dining room, Multi-Use Room bathrooms and all student restrooms, athletic fields, the weight room and the central courtyard (quad). Major landscaping has also improved the aesthetic quality of the school. The new 500 -seat Performing Arts Center was completed in 2005; it provides space for musical productions, assemblies, and special events. With the help of private donations, WHS has completed the construction of a new gymnasium and pool that has a modern fitness center adjacent. In 2011 a new field house and concession stand was completed. Other improvements include computer labs and several additional classrooms and offices. WHS has also made an effort to redesign and
reallocate the wings of the school for specific content areas. Woodside's new Career Technology Education (CTE) facilities include a state-of-the-art Robotics and Engineering room, as well as a large and productive garden.

Woodside hopes to break new ground in the next year with the addition of a Digital Media Pathways building with the assistance of $\$ 3 \mathrm{M}$ in matching funds from the state of California as a result of a grant. Ongoing construction and renovations reflect the everimproving quality of WHS's programs and the commitment to its students and community.

## WASC Accreditation History

Woodside High School last renewed its accreditation during the 2004-2005 school year, and the visiting committee awarded the school with a six-year accreditation (2005-2011) with a three-year review in 2008. In the spring of 2010, Woodside High School requested a one-year extension of its scheduled 2011 accreditation visit, as the school had just embarked on a three-year professional development endeavor with the Quaglia Institute. Sensing that the work with Quaglia, which is based on the "8 Conditions for Student Success," would have a significant impact on the culture of the school, Woodside requested an additional year in order to delve deeper into the Quaglia framework. WASC approved the extension request, which proved to be advantageous, as Woodside High School adopted the "8 Conditions" as its ESLRs in the spring of 2011.

The Visiting Committee's Summary of Findings from the 2005 visit delineated nineteen school-wide strengths, and particularly noted the efficacy and success of its shared decision-making model, the processes for data review, and its efforts towards closing the achievement gap. Two members from the 2005 visiting committee returned to Woodside High School in February of 2008. The committee noted: "There have been significant changes since the last visit. This is a school that doesn't stand still and there is a strong commitment here to continual improvement of all kinds." Furthermore, the committee noted: "This is a school that doesn't miss a step. Following the last visit, action plans were developed, or amended, to reflect the recommendations left by the visiting team." The visiting team did recommend that Woodside High School continue to look at the achievement gap "to ensure that all that can be done is being done." The committee also recommended "the school look at ways to increase representation of Hispanic students in Advanced Placement programs and classes."

Woodside High School, under the leadership and guidance of its Shared DecisionMaking Council, has remained focused on its long-term action plans by setting appropriate and relevant annual goals and respective targets.

Summary of School Performance Data: Woodside High School (Data derived from CA Department of Ed-Data Quest and SUHSD Director of Assessment)

WHS Dropout Rate

- WHS has the lowest dropout rate of all district schools.


Four-Year Derived Dropout Rate is an estimate of the $\%$ of students who would dropout in a four-year period, based on data collected for a single year. Formula: (1-((1-(Reported or Adjusted Gr. 9 Dropouts/Gr. 9 Enrollment))*(1-(Reported or Adjusted Gr. 10 Dropouts/Gr. 10 Enrollment)) *(1-(Reported or Adjusted Gr. 11 Dropouts/Gr. 11 Enrollment))*(1-(Reported or Adjusted Gr. 12 Dropouts/Gr. 12 Enrollment)

## WHS Academic Performance Index (API) Results

- WHS has not met schoolwide API Growth Targets since 2006
- WHS API results remain relatively stagnant for the past five years



## WHS API Subgroup Growth

- WHS white subgroup continues to exceed expectations in overall API growth; significant achievement gaps remain
- Students With Disabilities API increased 14 percentage points in 2011




## WHS CST Results

- The percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced on all sections of the CST exams has increased since 2007.




## WHS CST ELA

- The percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced is consistently lower than the district average in grades 9-11
- Overall, ELA CST scores remain relatively stagnant in grade cohorts and year -toyear comparisons



## WHS CST Mathematics

- Smallest number of students taking the General Math CST exam in 2011
- $15 \%$ of students taking the Algebra 1 CST scored proficient or advanced in 2011


| Algebra I (EOC) |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| $12 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| $27 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| $14 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| 10,712 | 10,850 | 10,991 |


| Algebra I (EOC) |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| $8 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| $20 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| $23 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| $32 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| $17 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| 756,447 | 748,443 | 737,902 |


| PROFICIENCY <br> LEVELS* |
| :--- |
| Advanced |
| Proficient |
| Basic |
| Below Basic |
| Far Below Basic |
| \# Students with Scores |


| Integrated Math I (EOC) |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| $23 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| $64 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| $10 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| 81 | 74 | 89 |


| Integrated Math I (EOC) |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| $5 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| $30 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| $52 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| 222 | 150 | 171 |


| Integrated Math I (EOC) |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| $5 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| $24 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| $49 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| $22 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| 619 | 499 | 547 |


| Integrated Math I (EOC) |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| $9 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| $22 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| $45 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| $22 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| 9,935 | 11,327 | 11,987 |

## WHS CST Mathematics

- $65 \%$ of students taking the High School Summative Math CST scored proficient or advanced in 2011

|  | Woodside High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CST Results: Geometry / Algebra II / HS Summative Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Woodside |  |  | SUHSD |  |  | San Mateo County |  |  | State of CA |  |  |
| PROFICIENCY LEVELS* | Geometry (EOC) |  |  | Geometry (EOC) |  |  | Geometry (EOC) |  |  | Geometry (EOC) |  |  |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2009 | 2010 | 20 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Advanced | 5\% | 7\% | 7\% | 12\% | 14\% | 13\% | 13\% | 13\% | 14\% | 8\% | 8\% | \% |
| Proficient | 23\% | 19\% | 18\% | 27\% | 26\% | 30\% | 25\% | 25\% | 28\% | 18\% | 19\% | 22\% |
| Basic | 27\% | 24\% | 28\% | 26\% | 25\% | 25\% | 24\% | 28\% | $26 \%$ | 23\% | 27\% | 27\% |
| Below Basic | 39\% | 39\% | 37\% | 29\% | 28\% | 24\% | 28\% | 27\% | $23 \%$ | 37\% | 34\% | 析 |
| Far Below Basic | 5\% | 11\% | 11\% | 6\% | 6\% | 7\% | 9\% | 7\% | 8\% | 14\% | 12\% | 12\% |
| \# Students with Scores | 410 | 368 | 302 | 1,464 | 1,517 | 1,442 | 5,519 | 5,580 | 5,715 | 398,730 | 409,586 | 07,668 |
| PROFICIENCY LEVELS* | Algebra II (EOC) |  |  | Algebra II (EOC) |  |  | Algebra II (EOC) |  |  | Algebra II (EOC) |  |  |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Advanced | 11\% | 17\% | 17\% | 16\% | 20\% | 21\% | 10\% | 15\% | 18\% | 8\% | 11\% | 129 |
| Proficient | 17\% | 24\% | 18\% | 27\% | 26\% | 29\% | 24\% | 24\% | 26\% | 20\% | 20\% | 219 |
| Basic | 28\% | 30\% | 38\% | 25\% | 28\% | 30\% | 28\% | 30\% | 29\% | 28\% | 28\% |  |
| Below Basic | 32\% | 21\% | 18\% | 23\% | 21\% | 13\% | 25\% | 21\% | 18\% | 27\% | 25\% | 23\% |
| Far Below Basic | 13\% | 7\% | 9\% | 9\% | 5\% |  | 13\% | 10\% | 9\% | 17\% | 16\% | 15\% |
| \# Students with Scores | 223 | 243 | 215 | 1,128 | 1,185 | 1,238 | 3,927 | 3,927 | 3,950 | 250,899 | 265,175 | 277,494 |
| PROFICIENCY LEVELS* | Summative Math (EOC) |  |  | HS Summative Math (EOC) |  |  | HS Summative Math (EOC) |  |  | HS Summative Math (EOC) |  |  |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Advanced | 22\% | 15\% | 24\% | 35\% | 40\% | 36\% | 24\% | 28\% | 24\% | 18\% | 22\% | 200 |
| Proficient | 29\% | 32\% | $44 \%$ | 34\% | 37\% | 42\% | 34\% | 34\% | 39\% | 32\% | 32\% | 35\% |
| Basic | 28\% | 27\% | 16\% | 22\% | 14\% | 16\% | 23\% | 21\% | 20\% | 24\% | 23\% | $23 \%$ |
| Below Basic | 19\% | 23\% | 13\% | $8 \%$ | 9\% | 5\% | 16\% | 14\% | 5\% | 1\% | 9\% | 18\% |
| Far Below Basic | 2\% | $3 \%$ | 4\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 5\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| \# Students with Scores | 117 | 120 | 140 | 578 | 682 | 737 | 2,096 | 2,315 | 2.423 | 123,650 | 130,588 | 139,969 |

## WHS CST Science

- In 2011, all students enrolled in $9^{\text {th }}$ grade Integrated Science took the Earth Science CST. The percentage of students scoring far below basic and below basic decreased. The Integrated Science curriculum was changed to align with Earth Science Standards. (Students enrolled in AP Environmental Science take the Earth Science CST.)


| CST Results |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Woodside |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Life Science (Grade 10) |  |  |
| 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| $23 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| $25 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| $32 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| 466 | 425 | 392 |

Woodside High School
CST Results: Life Science / Integrated Science I / Earth Science


| Integrated Science I (EOC) |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2009 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $6 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| $41 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| $25 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| $28 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| 1,084 | 893 | 636 |


| Integrated Science I (EOC) |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| $7 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| $41 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| $24 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| $28 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| 2,184 | 1,622 | 1,383 |


| Integrated Science I (EOC) |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2009 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| $11 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| $39 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| $22 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| $26 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| 69,374 | 64,056 | 54,712 |


| PROFICIENCY <br> LEVELS* |
| :--- |
| Advanced |
| Proficient |
| Basic |
| Below Basic |
| Far Below Basic |
| \# Students with Scores |


| Earth Science (EOC) |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| $16 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| $29 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| $33 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| $9 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| $13 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| 55 | 53 | 257 |


| Earth Science (EOC) |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| $6 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| $11 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $34 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| $23 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| $26 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| 155 | 132 | 305 |


| Earth Science (EOC) |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| $23 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| $41 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| $15 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| $15 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| 1,491 | 1,642 | 1,969 |


| Earth Science (EOC) |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| $8 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| $20 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| $39 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| $16 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| $18 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| 225,709 | 217,870 | 215,338 |

## WHS CST Science

- The number of students taking the Chemistry and Physics CST exams has increased significantly, since 2009. In 2010, WHS added 3 sections of Physics for $9^{\text {th }}$-grade and 5 sections of Conceptual Chemistry.



## WHS CST Social Science

- The percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced on the World History and United States History CST exams have remained consistent from 2009-2011 (lower than the district, similar to state averages).

|  | Woodside High School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CST Results: World History / U.S. History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Woodside |  |  | SUHSD |  |  | San Mateo County |  |  | State of CA |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | World History (EOC) |  |  | World History (EOC) |  |  |  |  |  |
| PROFICIENCY LEVELS* | World History (EOC) |  |  |  |  |  | World History (EOC) |  |  |  |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |  |  |  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Advanced | 19\% | 18\% | 15\% | 30\% | 33\% | 38\% | 26\% | 29\% | 35\% | 17\% | 20\% | 23\% |
| Proficient | 23\% | 25\% | 27\% | 23\% | 22\% | 21\% | 24\% | 24\% | 23\% | 21\% | 22\% | 21\% |
| Basic | 27\% | 24\% | 33\% | 19\% | 20\% | 19\% | 21\% | 22\% | 21\% | 25\% | 25\% | 24\% |
| Below Basic | 14\% | 12\% | 12\% | 12\% | 8\% | 9\% | 12\% | 8\% | $8 \%$ | 14\% | 10\% | 12\% |
| \# Students with Score | 18\% | 22\% | 13\% | 16\% | 17\% | 14\% | 18\% | 17\% | 13\% | 23\% | 23\% | 19\% |
| \#Students with Scores | 469 | 434 | 410 | 1,911 | 1,851 | 1,869 | 6,635 | 6,546 | 6,55 | 425,941 | 475,421 | 473,69 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PROFICIENCY LEVELS* | U.S. History (Grade 11) |  |  | U.S. History (Grade 11) |  |  | U.S. History (Grade 11) <br> 保 |  |  | U.S. History (Grade 11) |  |  |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Advanced | 20\% | 18\% | 16\% | 28\% | 27\% | 30\% | 26\% | 27\% | 28\% | 20\% | 20\% | 21\% |
| Proficient | 26\% | 27\% | 29\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 26\% | 26\% | 29\% | 24\% | 25\% | 27\% |
| Basic | 22\% | 23\% | 25\% | 19\% | 21\% | 20\% | 23\% | 21\% | 21\% | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| \# Students with Scores | 11\% | 14\% | 11\% | 10\% | 12\% | 11\% | 10\% | 11\% | 10\% | 12\% | 13\% | 12\% |
| Far Below Basic | 20\% | 19\% | 19\% | 18\% | 16\% | 15\% | 16\% | 15\% | 12\% | 21\% | 18\% | 17\% |
| \# Students with Scores | 415 | 426 | 403 | 1,718 | 1,895 | 1,820 | 6,151 | 6,370 | 6,286 | 435,829 | 442,083 | 446,54 |

## WHS CAHSEE Results - First Time Passing Rates: ELA

- CAHSEE ELA first-time passing rate for WHS English Learners, Students With Disabilities, and Socio-Economically Disadvantaged students is higher than that of the District, County and State.



## WHS CAHSEE Results - First Time Passing Rates: MATH

- CAHSEE Math first-time passing rate for WHS English Learners and Socio-Economically Disadvantaged students is higher than that of the District and State.
- CAHSEE Math first-time passing rate for WHS Students with Disabilities and RFEP students is higher than that of the District, County and State.


| $\#$ <br> Tested | $\#$ <br> Passed | \% <br> Passed |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1,959 | 1,694 | $86 \%$ |
| 354 | 205 | $58 \%$ |
| 417 | 387 | $93 \%$ |
| 227 | 123 | $54 \%$ |
| 798 | 601 | $75 \%$ |


| $\#$ <br> Tested | $\#$ <br> Passed | $\%$ <br> Passed |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6,527 | 5,617 | $86 \%$ |
| 955 | 550 | $58 \%$ |
| 1,231 | 1,133 | $92 \%$ |
| 601 | 287 | $48 \%$ |
| 2,061 | 1,527 | $74 \%$ |


| $\#$ <br> Tested | $\#$ <br> Passed | \% <br> Passed |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 474,327 | 378,440 | $80 \%$ |
| 75,613 | 39,788 | $53 \%$ |
| 89,345 | 80,488 | $90 \%$ |
| 35,400 | 13,497 | $38 \%$ |
| 235,179 | 168,834 | $72 \%$ |

Data obtained from DataQuest.

## WHS CAHSEE Results: Percent Proficient ELA

- The percentage of students scoring advanced or proficient on the 2011 ELA CAHSEE has increased.
- The percentage of students scoring advanced or proficient on the 2011 Math CAHSEE has decreased.



## WHS CAHSEE Subgroup Data

- The percentage of White students scoring advanced or proficient on the 2011 ELA and Math CAHSEE has increased since 2009.
- The percentage of African American and Hispanic students scoring advanced or proficient on the 2011 ELA CAHSEE has increased since 2009.
- The percentage of African American and Hispanic students scoring advanced or proficient on the 2011 Math CAHSEE has decreased since 2009.
- A significant achievement gap remains

| Woodside CAHSEE ELA |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% of Students Scoring Advanced or |  |  |  |  |
| Proficient by Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |



## WHS CAHSEE Subgroup Data

- The percentage of English Learners scoring advanced or proficient on the 2011 ELA CAHSEE has increased since 2008.
- The percentage of socio-economically disadvantaged and students with disabilities scoring advanced or proficient on the 2011 ELA CAHSEE has fluctuated since 2009.
- The percentage of EL, SED and SWD scoring advanced or proficient on the 2011 Math CAHSEE, has decreased since 2010.




## WHS Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Data

- WHS has not met AYP since 2008.
- The 2010-2011 Accountibility Progress Reports indicates WHS met 16 of 22 AYP criteria.
- WHS is in Year 2 of Program Improvement.
- AYP ELA Results:
o WHS met its AYP in the following subgroups: White and schoolwide.
o The number of students scoring proficient or advanced increased in 2011.



## WHS Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Data

- AYP Math Results:
o WHS met AYP in the White subgroup.
o The number of students scoring proficient or advanced decreased in 2011.



## WHS Title III Accountability Report

- The percentage of WHS students meeting annual growth targets is consistently higher than that of the district.
- 2010-2011 was the first year WHS did not meet the Federal AMAO Targets.


| \# in <br> Cohort | \# Meeting <br> Annual <br> Target <br> Growth | \% Meeting <br> Annual <br> Target <br> Growth | Federal <br> Target | Met <br> Federal <br> Target? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 330 | 168 | $50.9 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | No |
| 356 | 206 | $57.9 \%$ | $53.1 \%$ | Yes |
| 329 | 190 | $57.8 \%$ | $51.6 \%$ | Yes |
| 290 | 161 | $55.5 \%$ | $50.1 \%$ | Yes |
| 333 | 169 | $50.8 \%$ | $48.7 \%$ | Yes |



| \# in <br> Cohort | \# Meeting <br> Annual <br> Target <br> Growth | \% Meeting <br> Annual <br> Target <br> Growth | Federal <br> Target | Met <br> Federal <br> Target? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1258 | 641 | $51.0 \%$ | $53.1 \%$ | No |
| 1395 | 768 | $55.1 \%$ | $53.1 \%$ | Yes |
| 1324 | 721 | $54.5 \%$ | $51.6 \%$ | Yes |
| 1310 | 702 | $53.6 \%$ | $50.1 \%$ | Yes |
| 1331 | 720 | $54.1 \%$ | $48.7 \%$ | Yes |



| \# in <br> Cohort | \# Meeting <br> Annual <br> Target <br> Growth | \% Meeting <br> Annual <br> Target <br> Growth | Federal <br> Target | Met <br> Federal <br> Target? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 354 | 55 | $15.5 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | No |
| 404 | 94 | $23.3 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ | Yes |


| AMAO 2 <br> More than 5 Years |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010-11 |
|  | 2009-10 |


| \# in <br> Cohort | \# Meeting <br> Annual <br> Target <br> Growth | \% Meeting <br> Annual <br> Target <br> Growth | Federal <br> Target | Met <br> Federal <br> Target? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 | 125 | $41.7 \%$ | $43.2 \%$ | No |
| 277 | 131 | $47.3 \%$ | $41.3 \%$ | Yes |


| \#in <br> Cohort | \# Meeting <br> Annual <br> Target <br> Growth | \% Meeting <br> Annual <br> Target <br> Growth | Federal <br> Target | Met <br> Federal <br> Target? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1087 | 451 | $41.5 \%$ | $43.2 \%$ | No |
| 1072 | 472 | $44.0 \%$ | $41.3 \%$ | Yes |

Data obtained from DataQuest.

## WHS CELDT Results

- The majority of WHS students perform at the Intermediate and Early Advanced performance level on the CELDT Exam.

- The number of $12^{\text {th }}$-grade students meeting the CELDT criterion for English proficiency increased by twelve percentage points from 2010 to 2011
- The number of $11^{\text {th }}$-grade students meeting the CELDT criterion for English proficiency increased by five percentage points from 2010-2011

|  | Students Meeting CELDT Criterion (English Proficiency) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009-10 |  |  |  |  | 2010-11 |  |  |  |  |
| WOODSIDE | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total | Gr. 9 | Gr. 10 | Gr. 11 | Gr. 12 | Total |
| \# Meeting Criterion | 47 | 47 | 37 | 24 | 155 | 25 | 40 | 47 | 30 | 142 |
| \# in Cohort | 115 | 112 | 74 | 56 | 357 | 89 | 100 | 86 | 55 | 330 |
| \% English Proficient | 41\% | 42\% | 50\% | 43\% | 43\% | 28\% | 40\% | 55\% | 55\% | 43\% |

## WHS Advanced Placement Exam Results

With more than $96 \%$ of students going on to college following graduation, Woodside offers a full range of advanced placement (AP) classes that meet admissions requirements for all top universities. Along with its strong "a-g" college preparatory focus, Woodside welcomes and supports all students in fulfilling their maximum potential. We require all students enrolled in an AP class to take the respective AP Examination. One of Woodside's challenges is to increase the number of ethnically underrepresented students in honors and AP classes.

## Advanced Placement Results 2010:

\# of students tested - 334
\# of AP Exams - 596
\% scoring 3 or higher - 66\%
The following AP Data Tables represent three years of comparative data results.

Woodside High School
AP English Literature \& Composition (Grade 12)

| School Year | SUHSD |  | Woodside |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010-11 | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Students } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Students } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Students } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 5 | 65 | 19.3\% | 7 | 9.7\% |
| 4 | 95 | 28.3\% | 13 | 18.1\% |
| 3 | 90 | 26.8\% | 32 | 44.4\% |
| 2 | 72 | 21.4\% | 18 | 25.0\% |
| 1 | 14 | 4.2\% | 2 | 2.8\% |
| Total | 336 | 100.0\% | 72 | 100.0\% |
| 2009-10 | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Students } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Students } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Students } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Students } \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | 63 | 17.8\% | 11 | 14.7\% |
| 4 | 87 | 24.6\% | 17 | 22.7\% |
| 3 | 107 | 30.2\% | 22 | 29.3\% |
| 2 | 80 | 22.6\% | 22 | 29.3\% |
| 1 | 17 | 4.8\% | 3 | 4.0\% |
| Total | 354 | 100.0\% | 75 | 100.0\% |


| 2008-09 | $\#$ <br> Students | $\%$ <br> Students | $\#$ <br> Students | $\%$ <br> Students |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 38 | $10.5 \%$ | 3 | $3.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 88 | $24.4 \%$ | 13 | $15.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 113 | $31.3 \%$ | 24 | $28.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 95 | $26.3 \%$ | 40 | $47.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 27 | $7.5 \%$ | 4 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Total | 361 | $100.0 \%$ | 84 | $100.0 \%$ |





## Woodside High School AP Calculus BC

| School <br> Year | SUHSD |  | Woodside |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | \# <br> Students | \% <br> students | $\#$ <br> students | $\%$ <br> students |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 119 | $65.7 \%$ | 10 | $55.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 30 | $16.6 \%$ | 3 | $16.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 16 | $8.8 \%$ | 2 | $11.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 9 | $5.0 \%$ | 1 | $5.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 7 | $3.9 \%$ | 2 | $11.1 \%$ |
| Total | 181 | $100.0 \%$ | 18 | $100.0 \%$ |




| School <br> Year | SUHSD |  | Woodside |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\#$ <br> students | $\%$ <br> students | $\#$ <br> students | $\%$ <br> students |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 37 | $15.0 \%$ | 5 | $15.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 51 | $20.6 \%$ | 7 | $21.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 53 | $21.5 \%$ | 6 | $18.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 37 | $15.0 \%$ | 3 | $9.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 69 | $27.9 \%$ | 11 | $34.4 \%$ |
| Total | 247 | $100.0 \%$ | 32 | $100.0 \%$ |


| 2009-10 | $\#$ <br> Students | $\%$ <br> students | $\#$ <br> students | $\%$ <br> students |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 37 | $20.3 \%$ | 3 | $13.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 40 | $22.0 \%$ | 1 | $4.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 30 | $16.5 \%$ | 2 | $8.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 26 | $14.3 \%$ | 6 | $26.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 49 | $26.9 \%$ | 11 | $47.8 \%$ |
| Total | 182 | $100.0 \%$ | 23 | $100.0 \%$ |


| 2008-09 | $\#$ <br> students | $\%$ <br> students | $\#$ <br> students | $\%$ <br> students |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 47 | $24.7 \%$ | 11 | $31.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 34 | $17.9 \%$ | 1 | $2.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 53 | $27.9 \%$ | 11 | $31.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 24 | $12.6 \%$ | 5 | $14.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 32 | $16.8 \%$ | 7 | $20.0 \%$ |
| Total | 190 | $100.0 \%$ | 35 | $100.0 \%$ |




| School Year | SUHSD |  | Woodside |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010-11 |  | \% <br> Students |  | \% <br> Students |
| 5 | 17 | 18.7\% | 3 | 9.7\% |
| 4 | 31 | 34.1\% | 12 | 38.7\% |
| 3 | 14 | 15.4\% | 7 | 22.6\% |
| 2 | 16 | 17.6\% | 5 | 16.1\% |
| 1 | 13 | 14.3\% | 4 | 12.9\% |
| Total | 91 | 100.0\% | 31 | 100.0\% |
| 2009-10 | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Students } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Students } \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> Students |
| 5 | 15 | 12.9\% | 8 | 16.0\% |
| 4 | 25 | 21.6\% | 11 | 22.0\% |
| 3 | 21 | 18.1\% | 8 | 16.0\% |
| 2 | 21 | 18.1\% | 11 | 22.0\% |
| 1 | 34 | 29.3\% | 12 | 24.0\% |
| Total | 116 | 100.0\% | 50 | 100.0\% |
| 2008-09 |  | \% <br> Students |  |  |
| 5 | 18 | 15.5\% | 6 | 10.9\% |
| 4 | 25 | 21.6\% | 14 | 25.5\% |
| 3 | 19 | 16.4\% | 7 | 12.7\% |
| 2 | 14 | 12.1\% | 7 | 12.7\% |
| 1 | 40 | 34.5\% | 21 | 38.2\% |
| Total | 116 | 100.0\% | 55 | 100.0\% |

Woodside High School AP Environmental Science



| School <br> Year | SUHSD |  | Woodside |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2010-11 | $\#$ <br> students | $\%$ <br> Students | $\#$ <br> Students | $\%$ <br> students |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 17 | $30.9 \%$ | 2 | $5.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 11 | $20.0 \%$ | 7 | $20.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 11 | $20.0 \%$ | 10 | $29.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 12 | $21.8 \%$ | 7 | $20.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 4 | $7.3 \%$ | 8 | $23.5 \%$ |
| Total | 55 | $100.0 \%$ | 34 | $100.0 \%$ |


| 2009-10 | $\#$ <br> Students | $\%$ <br> Students | $\#$ <br> Students | $\%$ <br> students |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 40 | $44.4 \%$ | 2 | $6.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 20 | $22.2 \%$ | 6 | $18.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 15 | $16.7 \%$ | 10 | $30.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 7 | $7.8 \%$ | 11 | $33.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 8 | $8.9 \%$ | 4 | $12.1 \%$ |
| Total | 90 | $100.0 \%$ | 33 | $100.0 \%$ |


| 2008-09 | $\#$ <br> students | $\%$ <br> Students | $\#$ <br> Students | $\%$ <br> students |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 6 | $11.5 \%$ | 1 | $4.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | $19.2 \%$ | 3 | $13.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 13 | $25.0 \%$ | 4 | $18.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 16 | $30.8 \%$ | 10 | $45.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 7 | $13.5 \%$ | 4 | $18.2 \%$ |
| Total | 52 | $100.0 \%$ | 22 | $100.0 \%$ |





| School <br> Year | SUHSD |  | Woodside |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\#$ <br> Students | $\%$ <br> Students | $\#$ <br> Students | $\%$ <br> Students |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | $11.6 \%$ | 1 | $5.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 11 | $25.6 \%$ | 8 | $42.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 14 | $32.6 \%$ | 6 | $31.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 6 | $14.0 \%$ | 1 | $5.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 7 | $16.3 \%$ | 3 | $15.8 \%$ |
| Total | 43 | $100.0 \%$ | 19 | $100.0 \%$ |



| 2009-10 | $\#$ <br> Students | $\%$ <br> Students | $\#$ <br> Students | $\%$ <br> Students |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 6 | $12.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 6 | $12.0 \%$ | 2 | $9.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 17 | $34.0 \%$ | 7 | $33.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 9 | $18.0 \%$ | 2 | $9.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 12 | $24.0 \%$ | 10 | $47.6 \%$ |
| Total | 50 | $100.0 \%$ | 21 | $100.0 \%$ |


| 2008-09 | $\#$ <br> students | $\%$ <br> Students | $\#$ <br> students | $\%$ <br> students |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | $6.6 \%$ | 2 | $10.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 11 | $14.5 \%$ | 5 | $26.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 18 | $23.7 \%$ | 6 | $31.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 14 | $18.4 \%$ | 4 | $21.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 28 | $36.8 \%$ | 2 | $10.5 \%$ |
| Total | 76 | $100.0 \%$ | 19 | $100.0 \%$ |



| School Year | SUHSD |  | Woodside |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010-11 | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Students } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Students } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Students } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Students } \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | 63 | 35.4\% | 5 | 11.6\% |
| 4 | 49 | 27.5\% | 5 | 11.6\% |
| 3 | 30 | 16.9\% | 9 | 20.9\% |
| 2 | 22 | 12.4\% | 12 | 27.9\% |
| 1 | 14 | 7.9\% | 12 | 27.9\% |
| Total | 178 | 100.0\% | 43 | 100.0\% |
| 2009-10 | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| 5 | 41 | 23.4\% | 1 | 2.1\% |
| 4 | 47 | 26.9\% | 8 | 17.0\% |
| 3 | 37 | 21.1\% | 11 | 23.4\% |
| 2 | 36 | 20.6\% | 17 | 36.2\% |
| 1 | 14 | 8.0\% | 10 | 21.3\% |
| Total | $175{ }^{\circ}$ | 100.0\% | 47 | 100.0\% |
| 2008-09 | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| 5 | 47 | 26.9\% | 4 | 7.4\% |
| 4 | 52 | 29.7\% | 7 | 13.0\% |
| 3 | 31 | 17.7\% | 12 | 22.2\% |
| 2 | 28 | 16.0\% | 18 | 33.3\% |
| 1 | 17 | 9.7\% | 13 | 24.1\% |
| Total | 175 | 100.0\% | 54 | 100.0\% |



WHS Perception Data - Analysis of staff, student and parent My Voice surveys related to the ESLRs (8 Conditions). The following findings are a summary of The My Voice survey results; for a complete analysis of the Survey results, refer to the appendix of this report.

## Belonging (SDMC 9/26/11 and 10/10/11)

- $50 \%$ say teachers make an effort to get to know students
- $39 \%$ - bullying is an issue (consistent throughout grades). Are they bullied or do they see it (or just think it's a problem)?
- $41 \%$ say they are a valued member of the school community - low
- $82 \%$ say they are accepted for who they are - how does that square with the low percentages about feeling valued or known.


## Heroes (SDMC 10/10/11)

- $42 \%$ of students respect teachers
- $40 \%$ respect each other (males $47 \%$, females $34 \%$ )
- $64 \%$ feel teachers respect them
- Staff survey - 75\% of students care about me as an individual

Sense of Accomplishment (SDMC 10-10-11)

- Staff survey - 99\% encourage good citizenship
- Student survey - 77\% of teachers encourage good citizenship
- More boys than girls respond that they have never been recognized for something positive at school, yet a higher \% feel valued as a member of the community and accepted.
- Staff - 20\% never been recognized ( $80 \%$ answered yes)
- Staff - $48 \%$ recognized when I try my best


## Fun and Excitement (SDMC (10-24-11)

- Students - 44\% find school boring
- Students $-74 \%$ say learning can be fun $-46 \%$ say teachers have fun at school
- Sad similarities - 73\% staff, 74\% students have fun at school
- Delusional differences $-100 \%$ staff $/ 74 \%$ of students say learning can be fun
- $91 \%$ of staff have fun at school - students say $46 \%$ of staff have fun at school.


## Curiosity and Creativity (SDMC 10-24-11)

- $85 \%$ of students say they enjoy learning new things, $66 \%$ school inspires me to learn (discussion of word learn = implies academics?)
- $48 \%$ of students say classes help understand everyday life.
- $66 \%$ of students enjoy working in groups (students on council say in class groups better, than working outside of class on group projects).
- Gender responses indicate we boys enjoyment of school is lower.
- Sad similarities - $68 \%$ students/ $69 \%$ staff say creativity is encouraged in class and by admin.
- Delusional differences - staff - $75 \%$ say they are encouraged to be creative, but $69 \%$ say building admin. is open to new ideas
- $100 \%$ of staff $/ 85 \%$ of students say they enjoy learning new things - not tied to school? Standardization - feeling "hemmed in."


## Spirit of Adventure (10-24-11)

- $43 \%$ of students like challenging assignments - increases from 9-12
- $64 \%$ of teachers help me learn from my mistakes - decreases 9-12
- $51 \%$ of students say students are supportive of each other.
- $81 \%$ of students - I push myself to do better academically - $86 \%$ want to do better in school. $59 \%$ are excited to tell friends when they get good grades
- $76 \%$ of the staff supportive of each other
- $65 \%$ of staff say colleagues help them learn from their mistakes - more collaboration time higher score?


## Leadership and Responsibility (11-14-11)

- $45 \%$ of students ( $9^{\text {th }}$ highest) and $79 \%$ of staff know goals of the school (students more in tune with goals of class?)
- Delusional diff. $73 \%$ of students say teachers encourage them to make decisions ( $100 \%$ of teachers say this)
- Delusional diff. 85\% of teachers say they actively seek opinions and ides from students - 50\% of students say teachers are willing to learn from students.
- Are low numbers regarding leadership from students because they are unwilling or don't feel they have the power/opportunity?
- Sad Similar. 59\% Staff and 57\% students feel they have no voice in decision making.
- Staff voice in decision making (59\%) and Admin. learn from staff (52\%) lower than should be.


## Confidence to Take Action (11-14-11)

- $93 \%$ of students and $100 \%$ of staff believe they can be successful - high
- I know the person I want to become increases with grade levels
- Females score higher in all questions in this category - concern for boys.
- Excited about future (in education for staff) both groups $80 \%$ agreement.
- School preparing me for my future $-72 \%$ of students (meaning of future?0
- Delusional diff. - 70\% of students and $96 \%$ of staff feel they can make a difference (why more experience w/age?).


## My Voice Survey- parents (11-28-11)

- Belonging
o Not representative of parent population
o Rep a key demographic, would like to get to the other demo
o Group who took survey feels positive about the school
o Feel school is supportive, but only say $67 \%$ of teachers care about my child's problems
o Child has difficulty fitting in
- Heroes
o Troubled with $55 \%$ response on teacher let me know when my child does well
o "If my child has a problem if there is a teacher to talk to", parents may not really know
- Sense of Accomplishment
o Struck by "important my child gets good grades" 75\%
o Effort at $93 \%$, parents care more about effort than grades
o Child gives up when difficult at $16 \%$ not sure if a true reflection
o Teacher recognize child as helpful similar to teacher let me know if child does well
- Fun and Excitement
o Child is bored/ I was bored numbers seem low
o Great $87 \%$ thought parent evening were worth attending
o How do parents know teachers enjoy working with child
- Curiosity \& Creativity
o Confused by actively involved with child education
o Last two questions interesting
- Spirit of Adventure
o Only $2 / 3$ of parents think their child can be successful where as $91 \%$ of parents think teachers think their child can be successful
- Leadership and Responsibility
o $99 \%$ encourage child to make decisions
o $71 \%$ see their child as a leader - low
- Confidence to take Action
o $99 \%$ believe their child will be successful - contrast w/spirit of adventure
o $76 \%$ think school prepared them well for their future

